## Report to the Planning Committee

## 10 January 2024

| Subject: | Proposed Site Visits |
| :--- | :--- |
| Director: | Director - Regeneration and Growth <br> Tony McGovern |
| Contact Officer: | John Baker <br> Assistant Director - Development Planning <br> andBuilding Consultancy <br> John baker@sandwell.gov.uk |
| Alison Bishop <br> Development Planning Manager <br> Alison bishop@sandwell.gov.uk |  |

## 1 Recommendations

2 That the Committee notes that the following planning application(s) will be visited by the Committee on $21^{\text {st }}$ February 2023.

## 3 Reasons for Recommendations

3.1 Planning officers recommend these visit(s) to assist with the timescales set by government for reaching decisions on planning applications and when an application has generated substantial public interest.

## 4 How does this deliver objectives of the Corporate Plan?



We now have many new homes to meet a full range of housing needs in attractive neighbourhoods and close to key transport routes.
Our distinctive towns and neighbourhoods are successful centres of community life, leisure and entertainment where people increasingly choose

to bring up their families.
Sandwell now has a national reputation for getting things done, where all local partners are focused on what really matters in people's lives and communities.

## 5 Context and Key Issues

5.1 Planning applications can generate considerable public interest and it is considered that in some circumstances a visit to the site by the planning committee will assist them in understanding the proposal in relation to the surrounding area.
5.2 Visiting the site earlier in the planning process can assist with the timescales set by government for reaching decisions on planning applications.
5.3 In the event that the application does not generate objections or it is refused in line with objector's concerns, then members will no longer be required to consider the planning application before their committee and instead the application will be decided under delegated powers.
5.4 Site visit(s) for the following planning application(s) are reported. A location plan and site layout are attached:-

| Application No. and <br> Description. | Date <br> received | Reason |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DC/23/68823 <br> Proposed 2 no. pair of <br> semi-detached 3 <br> bedroom houses, with <br> associated parking <br> and private amenity <br> space/gardens, <br> vehicle crossover to <br> pavement, and access <br> road. | 3.11 .2023 | To review the site location in terms <br> of highway safety due to the <br> location of the application site and <br> the proposed development's <br> relationship to existing residential <br> properties (outlook/privacy/sunlight). |


| At <br> Land To The Rear Of <br> 22 To 56 Francis Ward <br> Close <br> West Bromwich |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DC/23/68819 <br> Demolition of former public house and proposed construction of 4 storey mixed-use building comprising of 2 No. ground floor retail units, 12 No. selfcontained apartments with first and third floor amenity areas with balustrades, plant room enclosure with acoustic timber fencing, boundary walls and railings, new access from Thornwood Close, parking, cycle store, refuse/recycling facilities and landscaping. <br> At <br> The Merrivale <br> Vicarage Road Oldbury | 2.11.2023 | To review the context of the area in relation to the proposed development in terms of design, scale and massing and the retail element at ground floor. |

## $6 \quad$ Alternative Options

6.1 There are no alternative options.

## 7. Implications

| Resources: | There are no direct implications in terms of the <br> Council's strategic resources. <br> If the Planning Inspectorate overturns the <br> Committee's decision and grants consent, the Council <br> may be required to pay the costs of such an appeal, <br> for which there is no designated budget. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Legal and <br> Governance: | The Planning Committee has delegated powers to <br> determine planning applications within current Council <br> policy. <br> Section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act <br> 1990 gives applicants a right to appeal when they <br> disagree with the local authority's decision on their <br> application, or where the local authority has failed to <br> determine the application within the statutory <br> timeframe |
| Risk: | There are no risks associated with this report. |
| Equality: | There are no equality implications associated with this <br> report. |
| Health and <br> Wellbeing: | There are no health and wellbeing implications <br> associated with this report. |
| Social Value | There are no implications linked to social value with <br> this report. |

## 8. Appendices

Location plans
Site layout plans
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Location Plan

| Client <br> Merrivale Court Ltd | Project | Title |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Merrivale PH, Oldbury, Site Redevelopment | Location Plan |  |
| Notes |  | Scale @ A4 | Date Created |
| This drawing has been prepared in accordance with the scope of Pinnacle Architecture Ltd appointment with it's client and is subject to the terms and conditions of that appointment. Pinnacle Architecture accepts no liability for the use of this document other than by it's client and only for the purposes for which it was prepared and provided. |  | 1:1250 | 1:1250 |
|  |  | Project No. | Drawing No. |
| If received electronically it is the recipients to print to the correct scale. Only written dimensions should be used |  | PA 0106 | 0106 A-000 |




| Merrivale. Floor Area Schedule (GIA) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home Story | Area Name | Room Number | Measured Area |
| Ground Floor |  |  |  |
|  | Bin Store 1 |  | 13.02 |
|  | Bin Store 2 <br> Plant 1 |  | 16.80 18.99 |
|  | Stair 1 |  | 39.08 |
|  | Stair 2 |  | 16.80 |
|  | Unit 1 |  | 395.25 |
|  | Unit 2 |  | 163.01 |
|  |  |  | $662.95 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| First Floor |  |  |  |
|  | APT 01 |  | 68.73 |
|  | APT 02 |  | 68.65 |
|  | APT 03 |  | 68.93 |
|  | APT 04 |  | 48.26 |
|  | APT 05 |  | 58.71 |
|  | APT 06 |  | 61.92 |
|  | Corridor |  | 39.52 |
|  | Stair 1 |  | 16.54 |
|  | Stair 2 |  | 16.80 |
|  |  |  | $448.06 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Second Floor |  |  |  |
|  | APT 07 |  | 68.45 |
|  | APT 08 |  | 68.44 |
|  | APT 09 |  | 68.46 |
|  | APT 10 |  | 47.51 |
|  | APT 11 duplex |  | 58.57 |
|  | APT 12 |  | 61.84 |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Corridor }}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{39.52}$ |
|  | Stair 2 |  | 16.80 |
|  |  |  | $446.06 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Third Floor |  |  |  |
|  | APT 11 duplex |  | 46.30 |
|  |  |  | $46.30 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
|  |  |  | 1,603.37 m ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |
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Merrivale Court Ltd
Project
Merrivale PH, Oldbury, Site Redevelopment

| Title |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site Plan |  |
|  |  |
| Scale @A1 | $1: 200,1: 1$ |
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